Applicant(s) Application No. 10/606,039 THOMAS ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 3634 Blair M. Johnson All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Blair M. Johnson. (2) JAMES PATTERSON Date of Interview: 2/3/.05 Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 96, 101 Identification of prior art discussed: TAUCHAR; TO HIVSUN; TYNNER Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) = x was reached. f(x) = x was not reached. f(x) = xSubstance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: X. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. * PRUPOSED CLAIMS DISCUSSED VS. PRIOR ART. Bl. M. Jul Blair M. Johnson **Primary Examiner** Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required